

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/817,076	07/15/97	YAMAMOTO		K 0	05905.0027
Г		LM61/0114	¬ !	EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN HEN	NDERSON FAR	ABOW GARRETT		NGUYEN,	r
	ET NW		[	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON I	DC 2 <b>0005-</b> 33:	15	•	277 <del>9</del>	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

**DATE MAILED:** 01/14/00

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 08/817,076

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Thu Nguyen

Group Art Unit

Yamamoto

2772



TH	e peri	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, wh is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the rejection.	ichever final
	determi	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purpose ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
	perioa	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or with for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Ap <sub>l</sub> but	olicant' is NO	s response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Dec 28, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effer $\Gamma$ deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	ect,
	The pr	oposed amendment(s):	
		be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
		I not be entered because:	
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifyin issues for appeal.	g the
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOT	E:	
	_		
[	] Ap	plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
			<del></del>
7	— Newly	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted	
	separa	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	in a
X	The af	fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in convenience because:  ant's argument is not persuasive. Independent claims does not highlight the make and use of the overlaps	
		. Claims 3, 5, 14, 16 highlight the make and use of overlapping means and have been objected by exami	
]	The af	fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly random in the final rejection.	
X I	For pur	poses of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
		allowed:	
		objected to: 3, 5, 14, and 16	
(	Claims	rejected: 2, 4, 6-13, 15, 17, and 18	
		oposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Exam	_
] [	Note th	ne attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
] (	Other	Hahkban	
		MARK R. POWELL	,
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2700	